Minutes of Meeting bid No. GEM/2023/B/4189206

S.No	Name of the Consultant	Existing Content of RFP	Queries raised by the bidder firm	Proposed amendment to the respective clauses of the RFP document
1.	M/s Mercados Energy Markets India Pvt. Ltd.	(i).(A). I. Technical Evaluation (Section :3) Specific experience of the consultants relevant to the assignment will be examined with emphasis on handling ARR (Tariff determination) related exercises of the Regulatory Commissions and utilities during the last 5 years	Proposed Clause: Specific experience of the consultants relevant to the assignment will be examined with emphasis on handling ARR (Tariff determination) related exercises of the Regulatory Commissions and utilities during the last 5 7 years. Rationale: As per the scoring in the Technical evaluation criteria bidder has to furnish 40 MYT/ARR/APR Orders/Petitions for ERCs/Utilities to obtain full marks, so it is requested from JERC to increase the span of years in which bidder can show their experience incorporating such number of MYT/ARR/APR Orders/Petitions for ERCs/Utilities	No Change
2.		(i).(A). I. Technical Evaluation (Section :3) The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 40. The highest marks obtained by any bidder shall be set to 40 while marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks.	Proposed Clause: The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 40. The highest marks obtained by any bidder shall be set to 40 while marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks. The marks under this criterion are subjected to a maximum of 40 Marks. Rationale: If the marks are to be distributed on pro-rata basis then it becomes very difficult for the bidder who has sufficient experience to compute and access own marks at the time of submission of the bid. In case of scoring criteria in any tendering process the marking to be allotted to any bidder must be precise and specific.	Clause shall stand replaced as under: - The marks under this criterion are subjected to a maximum of 40 Marks.
3		(iii).(A). I. Technical Evaluation (Section :3)	Proposed Clause: (iii) Qualification and competency of the key professional staff, Qualifications Sub-criteria	No Change
		(iii) Qualification and competency of the	MBA/PGDM/M.Tech from IITs/IIMs	

		key professional staff, Qualifications	Marks for each degree for each individual 01	
		Sub-criteria	B.Tech/B.E. Marks for each degree for each individual 01	
		 MBA/PGDM/M.Tech from IITs/IIMs 	MBA/PGDM/MBE/M.Tech from other college /	
		Marks for each degree for each individual	university Marks for each degree for each individual 0.5	
		01	MA/MCom/MSc/LLB/CA/CS/CFA/CMA.	
		B.Tech/B.E. Marks for each degree for	Marks for each degree for each individual 0.5-1	
		each individual 01	BA/BCom/BSc/MSc/BCA/BBA Marks for each degree for	
		• MBA/PGDM/MBE/M.Tech from other	each individual 0.5	
		college / university Marks for each		
		degree for each individual 0.5	Rationale: Professionals from any specific institutes shall	
		• MA/MCom/MSc/LLB/CA/CS/CFA/CMA.	not be given higher weightage, rather the experience of	
		Marks for each degree for each individual	the individual in relevant field shall be considered for	
		0.5 1	awarding of higher marks. Including such criteria will give	
		• BA/BCom/BSc/MSc/BCA/BBA Marks for	advantage to a very few bidders making it as preferential	
		each degree for each individual 0.5	criteria, which should never form part of scoring criteria.	
			In fact, MBA (Power/Energy) courses are specialised MBA	
			courses from specialised power sector government	
			institutes such as National Power Training Institute, Apex	
			body of the Ministry of Power, Govt. of India and NTPC	
			Business School, the candidates of which gets way lower	
			score than IIT/IIM candidate. Also, degrees like	
			BA/BCom/BSc/BCA/BBA cannot be treated or given same	
			marks as compared to degrees like CA/CS, as they are	
			rather higher level of education degrees, requiring more	
			expertise and efforts to accomplish. Individual with CA/CS	
			degrees are expert in financial aspects, making them	
			critical part of Power Sector/Tariff related Consultancy	
			and should be awarded same marks as B.Tech/B.E or	
			MBA/PGDM/M.Tech. Since, Financial modelling is an	
			essential and a major part of the scope of work, Chartered	
			Accountants have specialised financial modelling skillsets	
			to enhance the quality and accuracy of the Tariff Order	
			deliverables.	
4	M/s Deloitte	(i).(A). I. Technical Evaluation (Section :3)	(i) Specific experience of the consultants relevant to	Clause shall stand replaced as
	Touché	(i) Specific experience of the	the assignment will be examined with emphasis on	under: -
	Tohmatsu	consultants relevant to the assignment	handling ARR (Tariff determination) related exercises of	

India LLP

will be examined with emphasis on handling ARR (Tariff determination) related exercises of the Regulatory Commissions and utilities during the last 5 years.

Experience in	Marks assigned
MYT/ ARR/ APR Orders/ Petitions for ERCs/ Utilities	1 mark for each tariff petition/ order
Other Regulatory ERCs/Utilities matters for	0.50 mark for each assignment/ project

The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 40. The highest marks obtained by any bidder shall be set to 40 while marks of others

have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks.

the Regulatory Commissions and utilities during the last 5 years.

Experience in	Marks assigned
MYT/ ARR/ APR Orders/ Petitions for ERCs/ Utilities	1 mark for each tariff petition/ order
Other Regulatory ERCs/Utilities matters for	0.50 mark for each assignment/ project

The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 40. The highest marks obtained by any bidder shall be set to 40 while marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks.

Rational: The evaluation criteria already prescribes 1 marks for each petition/order and 0.5 marks for other assignments in regulatory matters subject to maximum of 40 marks. Therefore, firm being able to meet the maximum marks with the help of their credentials should be provided the maximum marks instead of pro-rating the same with respect to highest. It is submitted that firms which have scored the maximum marks should be kept at equal levels as compared with firms which have more than 40 tariff petition/order as the same could be due to several reasons such as multiple Discoms in the state or large number of orders being handled by few ERCs such as CERC. This prorating in turns gives undue advantage to the specific firm while the other firms scoring maximum marks are equally competent to undertake the assignment. Therefore, it is submitted that firms being able to achieve maximum marks based on the scoring methodology (1

(i) Specific experience of the consultants relevant to the assignment will be examined with emphasis on handling ARR (Tariff determination) related exercises of the Regulatory Commissions and utilities during the last 5 years.

Experience in	Marks assigned
MYT/ ARR/ APR Orders/ Petitions for ERCs/ Utilities	1 mark for each tariff petition/ order
Other	0.50 mark
Regulatory	for each
ERCs/Utilities	assignment/
matters for	project

The marks under this criterion are subjected to a maximum of 40 marks.

marks for each petition/order and 0.5 marks for other assignments) should be allotted the same marks without any need for prorating. (iii) Qualification and competency of the key professional staff will be evaluated giving due attention to the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 marks for each petition/order and 0.5 marks for other assignments) should be allotted the same marks without any need for prorating. (iii) Qualification and competency of the key professional staff will be evaluated giving due attention to the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification and competency of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualification and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks.	and f the key aff will be lue attention to committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
any need for prorating. (iii) (A). I. Technical Evaluation (Section :3) (iii) Qualification and competency of the key professional staff will be evaluated giving due attention to the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks.	and f the key aff will be lue attention to committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
(iii) (A). I. Technical Evaluation (Section :3) (iiii) Qualification and competency of the key professional staff will be evaluated giving due attention to the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluations and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualifications and (b). experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 (iii) Qualification and competency of the key professional staff will be evaluated giving due attention to the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification and competency of the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation sand (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall be	and f the key aff will be lue attention to committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
(iii) Qualification and competency of the key professional staff will be evaluated giving due attention to the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluate the qualifications, and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluate the sub criterion to be followed for evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluate the qualification, experience in carrying out similar	and f the key aff will be lue attention to committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
(iii) Qualification and competency of the key professional staff will be evaluated giving due attention to the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall be evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks obtained by any bidder shall be set to 35 while marks of other have been computed proportionally with reference is similar assignment.	f the key aff will be lue attention to committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
the key professional staff will be evaluated giving due attention to the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with obtained by any bidder shall be set to 35 while marks of obtained by any bidder shall be set to 35 while marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks.	f the key aff will be lue attention to committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
evaluated giving due attention to the man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with for evaluation for evaluation professionals, we actually working actually working actually working out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with for evaluation professionals st evaluated giving or evaluated the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with for evaluation professionals st evaluated giving or content to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with for evaluate the qualification, experience and total numbe	f the key aff will be lue attention to committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
man-weeks committed by the team leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks of otherwise the qualifications and (b). Evaluated giving the evaluated giving the team leads professionals stand to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks of otherwise to the highest marks. Educational Qualifications and (b). evaluated giving to the man-weeks the team leads professionals, and order to evaluate the qualification, evaluated this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks of otherwise the qualification and (b). and the man-weeks the team leads professionals stand to evaluate the qualification, evaluate the qualification, evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of professionals stand to evaluate the qualification, evaluate the qualification, evaluate the qualification, evaluate the qualification, evaluated giving to evaluate the qualification, evaluated professionals and the man-weeks the team leads of professionals.	aff will be lue attention to committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
leader and other professionals, who will be actually working on the project. The sub-criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks obtained by any bidder shall be set to 35 while marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference is similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference is similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of professionals, and the team leaded professionals and the team leaded professionals. The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks of other professionals and the team leaded professionals.	lue attention to committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
be actually working on the project. The sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification shall evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks obtained by any bidder shall be set to 35 while marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference (b). experience is similar assignment.	committed by er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
sub criterion to be followed for evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate the qualification in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks obtained by any bidder shall be set to 35 while marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference is imilar assignment.	er and other who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
evaluation of the key professionals shall be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks obtained by any bidder shall be set to 35 while marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks.	who will be on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
be (a). Educational Qualifications and (b). experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per submission in Form-2 The mark under this criterion shall be normalized with respect to maximum marks i.e., 35. The highest marks of others have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks. actually working The sub criterion for evaluation professionals sleducational Qualification for evaluation professionals sleducational Qualification assignment.	on the project. to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
experience in carrying out similar assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per	to be followed of the key hall be (a). lifications and
assignment/jobs. In order to evaluate this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per	of the key nall be (a). lifications and
this aspect, the Committee shall evaluate the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per	hall be (a). lifications and
the qualification, experience and total number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per	lifications and
number of projects done by 5 no. of key personnel working with the firm as per to the highest marks. Others have been computed proportionally with reference to the highest marks. (b). experience is to the highest marks.	
personnel working with the firm as per to the highest marks. similar assignmen	
	n carrying out
submission in Form-2 to evaluate thi	t/jobs. In order
	s aspect, the
Rational: On similar lines as explained above, it is Committee shall	evaluate the
The mark under this criterion shall be requested that the absolute value determined based on qualification, ex	perience and
normalized with respect to maximum the criterias defined should be considered. Normalization total number of p	rojects done by
marks i.e., 35. The highest marks with respect to the highest value further leads to creation 5 no. of key per	sonnel working
obtained by any bidder shall be set to 35 of additional gap inspite of the fact that the proposed with the firm as	per submission
while marks of others have been team personnel may have adequate experience and in Form-2	
computed proportionally with reference qualification to undertake the assignment.	
to the highest marks. The marks under	r this criterion
are subject to a r	naximum of 35
marks.	
6 Joint Electricity (b).(ii).(A). I. Technical Evaluation Clause shall stan	d replaced as
Regulatory (Section :3) under: -	
Commission	

(b) Work plan - the bidder shall propose and justify the main activities of the assignment/job, their content and duration, phasing and interrelations, milestones (including interim approvals by the Employer), and delivery dates of the reports. The proposed work plan shall be consistent with the technical approach and methodology, showing understanding of the Terms of Reference (TOR)/Scope of assignment and ability to translate them into a feasible working plan. A list of the final documents, including reports, drawings, and tables to be delivered as final output, shall be included here. The work plan shall be consistent with the work schedule.

(b) Work plan – the bidder shall propose and justify the activities of the assignment/job, their content and duration, phasing and interrelations, milestones (including interim approvals by the Employer), and delivery dates of the reports. The proposed work plan should be consistent with the technical approach and methodology, showing understanding of the Terms of Reference (TOR)/Scope of assignment and ability to translate them into a feasible working plan. A list of the final documents, including reports, drawings, and tables to be delivered as final output, shall be included here. The work plan shall be consistent with the work schedule.

A maximum time period of 90 days shall be allowed to the bidder for competition of work.

Note: The Terms & Conditions and the Clauses of Bid, other than mentioned above, shall remain unchanged.