

**BEFORE THE ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN**  
(For the State of Goa and Union Territories)  
Under Section 42 (6) of the Electricity Act, 2003  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Plot No. 55-56, Udyog Vihar - Phase IV, Sector 18,  
Gurugram (Haryana) 122015,  
Phone No.:0124-4684708, Email ID: [ombudsman.jercuts@gov.in](mailto:ombudsman.jercuts@gov.in)

Appeal No.155 of 2021

Date of Video Conferencing: 27.01.2022

Date of Order: 03.02.2022

Shri V. Ravi Kumar,  
Puducherry.

.... Appellant

**Versus**

The Superintending Engineer com HOD,  
Electricity Department,  
Puducherry and others

.... Respondents

**Parties present:**

**Appellant(s)**

1. Shri V. Ravi Kumar,

**Respondent(s)**

1. Shri K. Ramanathan  
Executive Engineer

Appeal No.155 of 2021



Page 1 of 14

**Date of Order: 03.02.2022**

The Appellant has preferred an Appeal against CGRF- Puducherry order No-70/2021 dated-02.11.2021. The Appeal was admitted on 14.12.2021 as Appeal No.155 of 2021. Copy of the same as received was forwarded to the respondents with a direction to submit their remarks/ counter reply on each of the points. A copy of counter reply was supplied to the Appellant with a request to file the rejoinder.

**Settlement by Mutual Agreement**

Both the parties appeared before the Electricity Ombudsman through Video Conferencing as scheduled on 27.01.2022 and were heard. Efforts were made to reach a settlement between the parties through the process of conciliation and mediation. However, no settlement mutually agreeable could be reached. The hearing therefore, continued to provide reasonable opportunity to both the parties to put forth their pleadings on the matter.

**(A) Submissions by the Appellant:**

Appellant submitted the brief facts as under: -

1. That I had submitted grievances to the Honorable CGRF, Electricity Department, Puducherry with humble request to: -
  - (i). Review the Energy consumption bill charged on my consumer No. 0.0274 (T-6082) for the Bill period of the disputed months (Feb-2020-June-2020) and also please pass instructions: -
  - (ii). To the "Executive Engineer, Electricity Department, Karaikal " or "the designated Squad" for disconnection of power connection" as to not to venture in to disconnection of Power supply for default of payment of Bill without resolving this issue.
  - iii). To pass orders to allow to remit the "Part amount" of the Energy consumption Bill payable after the Bill period of the disputed months ( Feb-2020-JUNE-2020 ) till the month of Aug-2021 till esteemed orders are received.
2. In this regard, I had also spoken to the Honorable Chairman, CGRF, Puducherry, over the phone on 01-10-2021 with a request to permit me to remit the Energy consumption charges for the non - protested period from July - 2020 to Aug-2021 payable by me towards my consumer Number: - 0.0274 (T-6082).
3. Indeed, I am thankful to the generous gesture and concern shown to me by the CGRF during the deliberative session of enquiry conducted by them in Case No.70/2021, while such an enquiry was conducted on 21-10-2021 in the chamber of the Executive Engineer, Electricity Department, Karaikal, wherein a patient hearing was rendered to me by the Officials of the CGRF, and I was instructed to remit the "Part-amount of the Consumption charges pertaining to the Non-contested period from July-2020 till August-2021 with the "protest filed on the charges from Feb-2020 till June-2020 "
4. In this regard, I had requested the Hon'ble CGRF to allow me to remit the Energy consumption charges towards my Consumer No:- 0.0274 (T-6082) as detailed below:-

(a). Bill amount as on August-2021- (64-44-03-0274)

Vide Bill dated 17-09-2021.

----- Rs.56,851-00



- (b). Consumption charges as arrived by the statement Communicated vide my letter, dated.05-04-2021. "PROTESTED PERIOD AMOUNT" ----- Rs.33,252-00 (-)
- (c ).Waiver of Penal charges as reflected in the Bills as claimed by me as on now pending assurance by the C G R F that they will review on a later date. ----- Nil-----
- (d ).Amount of consumption charges now remitted }  
 With protest for the period from Feb-2020 till } ----- Rs.23,599-00  
June-2020 " but for the Non - contested period }  
from July-2020 till August- 2021, by Cheque }

5. Based on the above, I had remitted the bill amount as mentioned above in Para (4) above towards Energy consumption charges towards the Non-Contested period from July-2020 till August-2021(receipt No-62-42927/dt.28-10-2021). However, I anchored my hope on the outcome of the Orders awaited by me from the Hon'ble CGRF, Puducherry in Case No.70/CGRF/2021.

6. But to my dismay and misfortune, the Hon'ble CGRF in its orders dated. - 03-10-2021 has disallowed my complaint filed for the review for the exorbitant Energy consumption charges levied on my consumer service connection No. 64-44-03-0274 at T.R.Pattinam, Karaikal District, U.T of Puducherry for the months from Feb-2020- to-June-2020.

7. I do humbly pray to the Hon'ble "THE OMBUDSMAN", JOINT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, FOR THE STATE OF GOA AND UNION TERRITORIES on certain salient grey areas wherein the CGRF, Puducherry has miserably failed to address the following:-

- (a). Ref. Page (5) under the sub-title as "Observation" of CGRF Orders in case No.70/2021 :-

In spite of having referred the Objectionable table of energy consumption readings as listed out by me since the month of August-2019 till July-2021, while the consumption as recorded during the COVID-19 LOCK DOWN period from Feb-2020 till Jun-2020 is projected to be 6.380 units at an average of 1276 units per month. (Ref:-(\*.)

Ref (..\*\*..) (Para-1/Page 2 of CGRF ORDER ),

While the CGRF Puducherry , has referred the energy consumption meter reading of my consumer service connection for the contested period pertaining to the corresponding years of 2019, 2020 and 2021 as produced by the Respondents in its orders as described in Page (5) under the sub-title as "Observation" that "It is seen that the consumption of the complainant is found to be in range of 200 to 300 units for most of the months in the year" , which appears to be unrealistic, unfortunate and far from the clearly established facts and figures brought out .

- (b). The proceedings of the CGRF, Puducherry which lacks transparency for the reason being that the so called " energy consumption meter readings "could not be tabled by the Respondents

instantly during the enquiry on 21-10-2021` but for which the CGRF also could not transparently look in to such details right during the conduct of the enquiry, which causes the ambiguity on the integrity of such records as reportedly produced by the Respondents.

(c) Even for the sake of accepting the information as produced by the Respondents to be true and realistic, then also it is evidently clear that consumption readings of the Energy meter as recorded during the month end of June-2020 since Feb-2020, collectively after five months and thereby arriving at the average monthly consumption reading as (6380 / 5 months)= 1276 units per each month from Feb-2020 till June-2020, which appears to be too far away from the very same month wise consumption readings as produced even by the Respondents, while the maximum reading recorded during the check period from Jan-December for the successive years of 2019,2020 and 2021 is only during September-2020 (i.e) as 600 units.

(d) Surprisingly the Hon'ble CGRF, Puducherry has conveniently but very unfortunately failed to take note of it, but simply and wrongfully brushed away the apparently recorded exorbitant reading as an average 1276 units against each month average from Feb-2019 till June-2019 while the average consumption noted as falling with in the minimum of even 10 units to a maximum of 600 units during the check period.

(e) Such an arbitrary and unrealistic stand against the fact on ground as appeared to have been adopted by the CGRF, Puducherry is harm full to the judicious approach towards disposal of genuine grievances of the Consumers., which needs to be taken care by the Hon'ble "THE OMBUDSMAN", JOINT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, FOR THE STATE OF GOA AND UNION TERRITORIES

(f). Ref. the concluding Page (6) under the sub-title as "Observation" in Sub-para (ii)of CGRF Orders in case No.70/2021 :-

The Hon'ble CGRF, Puducherry has conveniently taken its stand that the computed consumption is 936 units for the connected load as reported by the Respondents as 9.755 KW by adopting the ruling of the Annexure XIX of the Electricity supply code 2018 for the States of Goa and Union Territories. The very basic information furnished by the Respondents to arrive on the connected load as 9.755KW is conceptually wrong, while the number of Power points on the Switch / Panel boards alone have been accounted for the arrival of the so called "Connected loads" but not the actually connected home appliances as Loads which has led in to this sort of mis concept, which also needs to be taken care by the Hon'ble "THE OMBUDSMAN", JOINT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, FOR THE STATE OF GOA AND UNION TERRITORIES .

8. Being the Law abiding Citizen, I shall pay right fully the Energy consumption charges towards my consumer service connection as communicated to me by the Electricity Department, Karaikal, Puducherry from time to time regularly .But for the sake of maintaining certain big brotherly " Adament " and even "Vehement" attitude of quite a few defunct officials who refuse to correct on their erring acts while carrying out the meter reading, computing, and billing activities, for which they try it out to put under the power full carpeting of Administrative ways and means by arm twisting the consumers and finally force them to pay out on the exorbitant consumption charges slapped over them. Certainly I don't want to be counted as one among the victimized lot for which I had hopefully knocked the doors of justice before the Hon'ble "THE OMBUDSMAN", JOINT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, FOR THE STATE OF GOA AND UNION TERRITORIES .



9. Hence, I humbly pray before the Hon'ble "THE OMBUDSMAN", JOINT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, FOR THE STATE OF GOA AND UNION TERRITORIES that :-

(i) . My appeal petition against the Orders of the Hon'ble Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Electricity Department, Puducherry in case No.70/2021 vide orders dated.02-11-2021 may please be allowed.

(ii). The defunct Orders passed by the Hon'ble Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Electricity Department, Puducherry in case No.70/2021 vide orders dated.02-11-2021 may please be set aside and dismissed.

(iii). The Energy consumption bill charged on my consumer No. 0.0274 (T-6082 ) for the Bill period of the disputed months ( Feb-2020-June-2020 ) may please be reviewed at par with the average consumption readings recorded pertaining to the corresponding monthly consumption readings of the previous year of 2019 as per existing rules.

(iv).The Hon'ble Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Electricity Department, Puducherry in case No.70/2021 has nowhere addressed on my prayers to "waive off the default payment charges "(delay charges) charged on me during the Bill period from July-2020 till Aug-2021 for which the fault does not lie on me because I had sounded my alarms to contest the exorbitantly charged Bill way back in July 2020 itself but for the reluctant and inactive attitude of the Electricity Department .Karaikal only has caused so much of delay of eighteen months . Hence, I humbly pray before the "THE OMBUDSMAN", also to Order for the waiver of the default payment charges "(delay charges) in the Bill period from July-2020 till Aug-2021.

**(B) Submissions by the Respondents:**

Shri K. Ramanathan, Executive Engineer on behalf Respondents/Electricity Department-Puducherry, submitted the counter reply as under: -

(a) That the deponent is working as Executive Engineer, and is authorised by Electricity Department, Puducherry being Deemed Licensee, to file this reply and represent on behalf of Electricity Department, Karaikal, in this case.

(b) That the deponent is filing the counter reply point wise in the present appeal is as under:-

1. One domestic service connection with Policy No.T.6082/A2 and Policy code 64-44-03-0274 standing in the name of Thiru. V. Ravikumar (Appellant) at Electricity Department, Karaikal

2. The appellant had filed an Appeal before the Hon'ble Ombudsman of JERC and Registered as Appeal No.155/2021 dated, 14.12.2021. He has preferred an Appeal in the consumer case No.70/2021 on complaint filed before the Hon'ble CGRF, Puducherry and order passed by the Hon'ble CGRF on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of November 2021.

3. The Appellant had requested to review the Energy consumption bill charged in the consumer Policy No.T.-6082 for the disputed period from Feb 2020 to June 2020 which was also the Initial Lockdown period due to COVID Pandemic 2019. The appellant has claimed that the consumption



recorded and billed for this period was high compared to that of previous months and that necessary applicable slab rates were not applied for, while calculating the bill amount.

4. Consequent to the filing of necessary counter affidavit with submission of all documents by the department and conducting necessary hearing, the Hon'ble CGRF had passed orders that the complainant has to clear the disputed amount and that the complaint is not allowed

5. The Appellant has filed an Appeal against this Order and as directed by the Hon'ble Ombudsman, the appellant was called vide Letter dated 2311/EDK/EE-KKL/JE/F.CC.70/2021-2022 dated, 24.12.2021 to settle the representation through mutual Agreement on 28.12.2021 but a settlement was not achieved. However he has remitted the 1/3<sup>rd</sup> sum of the Current consumption Bill viz. Rs.11, 570/- (Rupees eleven thousand five hundred and seventy only) on 31.12.2021.

6. Hence, it is to humbly submit the counter affidavit on the Appeal as under:

(i) In view of the COVID – 19 Pandemic during the initial Lockdown period, the monthly meter readings could not be taken by this department through Meter readers, for the months of Feb 2020 to June 2020

(ii) However, the current consumption bill were provisionally claimed based on the average consumption of previous months for all the LT Consumers of Puducherry U.T.

(iii) It is to submit that after the release of Lockdown period, the actual readings were taken by the Meter readers from the month of July 2020 and the provisional claims made available in the website were replaced by the actual meter readings and relevant consumption, adjusting the same against payments made against the provisional claims again for all the LT Consumers in the Puducherry U.T. applying the slab wise rates as approved in the Tariff Order.

(iv) The Appellant has remitted a payment of Rs.2000/- (Rupees two thousand only) on 27.5.2020 during the said period.

(v) After resorting to actual meter readings, the actual usage was 6380 units for the months from Feb 2020 to June 2020 during the initial Lock down period.

(vi) It is to humbly submit that the provisional claims were made based on the average consumption recorded for winter months when the power consumption would be normally low and also during pre-lock down period.

(vii) However, the Lockdown period was the onset of summer when the power consumption would be normally more. Further during the Lockdown period most of the family members were present in the house leading to more consumption, owing to continuous and higher usage of electrical appliances. The said residential premise is let on Rental basis to one Thiru. Mohamed Arees who is the occupant and he has also acknowledged the connected load taken in the said premises.

(viii) The statement on the consumption details of the Appellant policy code No.T.6082 from 1.1.2019 to the last month of billing is submitted in detail as per the format prescribed by the Hon'ble Ombudsman, for kind perusal.



- (ix) It is to humbly submit that the Appellant had given a representation on 4.2.2021. on the huge bill amount raised for the disputed period Feb 2020 to June 2020 and with a request to review the readings recorded and the adoption of the applicable slab rates for the units consumed every month.
- (x) Reply dated 19.4.2021 was given to that representation explaining the difference in consumption between summer months and the winter months and the increase in usage and power consumption during the Lockdown period.
- (xi) Further, Check meter was fixed for a period of three days from 5.3.2021 to 8.3.2021 and the performance of both the Appellant's meter and check meter was found to be satisfactory and on par, with least margin of error.
- (xii) Copy of the lab test report vide No. 89 /EDK/AEE(C&B)/JE. Lab/ F.12/2020-2021 dt. 26.02.2021. is enclosed for kind perusal, which was also previously issued to the Appellant for information.
- (xiii) The Appellant had also issued an Advocate notice through Shri Sayaree Ganguly in June 2021 calling for clarifications and review on the billing done.
- (xiv) Necessary reply incorporating all the facts had been given to the Advocate of the Appellant by the Additional Government Pleader, vide letter dt. 23.7.2021
- (xv) The Appellant had then filed a complaint vide. C.C. No. 70/2021 with the Hon'ble CGRF and upon submission of all relevant details with counter affidavit and conducting Hearings, the Hon'ble CGRF had disallowed the complaint passing necessary orders for making payment of the Current Consumption Bills as claimed by the department.
- (xvi) Further, it is to humbly submit that this department was directed Hon'ble CGRF to arrange to download the consumption of the consumer's Meter and arrange study/compare with the actual meter consumption as recorded by the Meter Reader.
- (xvii) The same has been duly carried out by the department and the meter consumption compared to that recorded by the Meter Reader and found on par with each other for the last one year period ie from November 2020 to September 2021 Copies of the same are also submitted for kind perusal.
- (xviii) It is to humbly submit that the Appellant has agreed to the good performance of the meter fixed and does not object to the veracity of the same. It is evident that the meter performance has been good and consistent throughout the disputed period, earlier period and even now. Hence the review of the Billing done does not arise.
- (xix) The average consumption was posted in the website during the lockdown period, as a provisional measure only, for those interested consumers to make online payment.
- (xx) However once actual Readings were taken and the billing done based on the actual usage, the average consumption/provisional billing becomes obsolete and any payment made is adjusted with Excess/ shortfall recorded in the Current Consumption Bills as the necessity calls for. It is added that necessary applicable slab rates are applied for, while calculating the current consumption bill.



(xxi) Belated payment surcharge levied in each month Current consumption Bills cannot be waived since the billing has been done as per the meter consumption only and no review / revisal on the Billing has arisen.

(xxii) No complaints were received till date on any ambiguity noted in the Initial Reading and Final Reading of the Current Consumption Bills issued every month, which can always be verified by the consumer at his end anytime. Hence, the appellant's so called ambiguity on the integrity of such records is baseless and without grounds.

7. It is to humbly submit that the Appellant is not the user of the service connections. The house is let out to the tenant Thiru. Mohamed Arees and the present connected load is 7.200 KW, taken in the presence of Thiru. Mohamed Arees on 31.12.2021

8. It is to humbly submit that the Appellant does not doubt the performance of the meter at any instance, and since he cannot prove or vouchsafe for less usage for lower consumption during any of the period by his tenant, it is to surmise that the Billing done by the department is correct and does not call for any review/ revisal of the Billing and hence waiver of Belated Payment Ser Charge also does not arise.

9. I am to humbly request that all the documents /statements submitted by the department in support of the actual Billing made on the actual usage may kindly be perused and the appeal may please be dismissed.

**(C) CGRF- Puducherry order No-70/2021 dated-02.11.2021., preferred for Appeal:**

Ld. CGRF-Puducherry, has passed the following order: -

**Order.**

“ i. The Complainant is directed to clear the disputed amount of Rs.33252/- in five monthly instalment along with the monthly current consumption bill amount. The respondent is directed to issue instalment accordingly to the complainant within 3 working days from the receipt of this order.

ii. the respondent is directed to arrange to download the consumption of the meter and arrange to study / compare with the actual meter consumption as recorded by the Meter reader and appropriate action be taken and report the matter to this forum within 60 days from the date of this order.

iii. the complaint is not allowed

iv. The Complainant is at liberty to prefer an appeal against this Order before the Ombudsman, Joint Electricity Regulatory Commission for the state of Goa and Union Territories.”

**(D) Deliberations during Video hearing on 27.01.2022:**

**Appellant's Submission:**

a. Shri Ravi Kumar-Appellant, reiterated his version as submitted in the Appeal.

Appeal No.155 of 2021





- b. He further emphasized that he has reported the matter to the Electricity Department in July,2020 but the Department did not taken any action. Accordingly, he had to send a legal notice on dated 22.06.2021. He was asked to supply a copy of his representation submitted to Electricity Department in July,2020 after the VC ,which he supplied on 28.01.2022 which were forwarded to Respondents through email.
- c. He further submitted that instead of resolving his grievances , the Respondents send their team for disconnection without any no notice .
- d. He was informed that he has not filed the Appeal in required form, Annexure-IV which beside facts of the case also require certification that no court case in pending in any court in this regard. He confirmed that no case is pending in any court and requested that filing of Appeal in Annexure-IV may be waived of.
- e. He further requested that Electricity Department may be directed to furnish the details of energy consumption meter readings, in tabular column i.e. details of meter reading and also access be given to the petitioner to view the ledger details.

**Respondent's Submission:**

- a. Sh. K. Ramanathan -Executive Engineer, reiterated his version as submitted in reply to the appeal.
- b. On being asked to supply copy of Check Meter report from 05.03.2020 to 08.03.2020, he promised to send the same after the VC. Accordingly, he has supplied the results of Check Meter testing on 27.01.2022, through email and a copy of the same was supplied to the Appellant through email. These results confirms that the existing meter is recording correctly.
- c. On being asked as to why supply was ordered to be disconnected. He informed that instructions have been printed on the back side of the Electricity Bill that in case of non- payment supply will be disconnected. His attention was drawn to the clause 9.3 of the Supply Code Regulations-2018, notified by the Regulatory Commission (JERC), which mandates issue of 15 days' notice even before a temporary disconnection. He agreed and assured to issue instructions to his subordinate staff to strictly follow the Regulations.

**(E) Findings & Analysis: -**

1. I have perused the documents on record, CGRF orders and pleadings of the parties.
2. The documents submitted by the parties have been believed to be true and if any party submitted a fake/forged document, then they are liable to be prosecuted under relevant Indian Penal Code/Rules/Regulations.
3. The issues which have arisen for considerations in the present Appeal are as under: -
  - i. Whether the Appellant is entitled to relief for correction of Electricity Bills from February,2020 to June,2020 and waiving of late payment surcharge from July-2020 till Aug-2021, as prayed for?
  - ii. Whether the CGRF order dated-02.11.2021 is sustainable in the eyes of law?



4. (a). Regarding issue no 3(i) as above, as to whether the Appellant is entitled to relief for correction of Electricity Bills from February, 2020 to June, 2020 and waiving of late payment surcharge from July-2020 till Aug-2021, as prayed for?

(b). Following provisions have been provided in the Supply Code Regulations- 2018, notified by the Hon'ble Commission w.e.f-26.11.2018 and amendments thereof: -

(i) **Testing of Accuracy of Meters**

6.34. The Licensee shall have the right to test any meter and related apparatus if there is a reasonable doubt about accuracy of the meter. The consumer shall provide the Licensee necessary assistance in conduct of the test.

6.35. A consumer may request the Licensee to test the meter on his premises if the consumer doubts its accuracy, by applying to the Licensee in the format given in **Annexure X** to this Supply Code, 2018, along with the requisite testing fee. On receipt of such request, the Licensee shall follow the procedure as detailed in Regulations 0 to 0 of this Supply Code, 2018.

6.36. The meter may be tested for accuracy at a third-party facility, if so desired by the consumer. The list of third-party agencies, which are accredited by NABL (National Accreditation Board for testing and Calibration Laboratories) shall be available on the website of the Licensee:

Provided that in case of testing on the consumer's request, the consumer shall have to pay the testing fee as per the cost specified by the Licensee with the approval of the Commission:

Provided further that if the meter is found to be defective / burnt due to technical reasons attributable to the Licensee, viz., voltage fluctuation, transients, etc., the Licensee shall refund the test fee to the consumer by adjustment in the subsequent bill.

6.37. Before testing a consumer's meter, the Licensee shall give 7 days' advance notice in urban areas and 10 days' advance notice in rural areas intimating the date, time and place of testing so that the consumer or his authorized representative may be present at the time of testing. The Licensee shall inspect and check the accuracy of the meter within 30 days of receiving the complaint both in urban and rural areas. The Licensee, after testing, if needed, shall replace the meter within 15 days thereafter.

6.38. The consumer/authorized representative present during testing will sign the test report as a token of witness. In case the consumer / authorized representative is not present, the Licensee's representative and the testing laboratory official shall sign on the test report.

6.39. The Licensee shall dispatch the test report to the consumer, to be received under acknowledgment, within 7 working days of the date of testing. In case of faulty meter, rectification for a maximum period of six months or from the date of last testing, whichever is later, on the basis of the test report, shall be adjusted or accounted for in the subsequent bill.



6.40. If a consumer disputes the results of testing, the consumer may represent to the Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF).

(ii). **Billing in case of Disputed Bills**

7.24. On receipt of the complaint in case of disputed bills in person, the Licensee shall issue a written/electronic acknowledgment on the spot or within three days of receipt, if received by post and give a complaint number for reference.

7.25. If no additional information is required from the consumer, the Licensee shall resolve the consumer's complaint and intimate the result to the consumer within 7 days of receipt of the complaint. In case, any additional information is required, the same shall be obtained, the issue resolved and result intimated to the consumer within 7 days of receipt of the additional information. However, if the consumer does not provide information on time, the Licensee shall not be held liable for the consequent delay. Till the complaint on the bill is resolved, the consumer shall pay the amount based on average consumption of corresponding period of the previous year when the meter was functional. Amount so recovered shall be subject to final adjustment on resolution of the complaint.

7.26. If the complaint is found to be correct by the Licensee, a revised bill shall be issued within 5 working days of intimation of the same to the consumer. The consumer shall make the payment within 15 days after receipt of the revised bill. The consumer shall not be charged any late payment surcharge, if the payment is made by the revised due date.

7.27. If the complaint was found to be incorrect the consumer shall be notified and directed to make the payment as per the original bill immediately and the consumer shall be liable to pay late payment surcharge if the payment is made after the due date of the original bill.

7.28. Consumers, who intend to get the special meter reading conducted, shall pay the requisite fee for the same as approved by the Commission from time to time.

(iii) **Temporary Disconnection**

9.1 The supply may be disconnected temporarily in following cases:

(1) On non-payment of the Licensee's dues: The Licensee may issue a disconnection notice in writing, as per Section 56 of the Act, to any consumer who defaults on his payment of dues, after giving him a notice period of minimum 15 working days to pay the dues. Thereafter, the Licensee may disconnect the consumer's installation on expiry of the said notice period by removing the service line/meter as the Licensee may deem fit;

(iv) Executive Engineer/Respondent was requested to check up the records/diary Register from July,2020 to August,2020 in the office of Executive Engineer, Junior Accounts Officer, Junior Engineer and to confirm if any complaint/representation was received from Thiru V. Ravi Kumar from July,2020 to August,2020. He has confirmed that no complaint/representation was received in the office of Executive Engineer, Junior Accounts Officer/Karaikal as per Receipt



Register/Records. However, complaint was received in the office of Junior Engineer, but due to Covid pandemic situations, the JEs were mainly attending to emergencies/breakdowns due to less staff, also due to Quarantine. However, JE's office had checked the meter and orally informed the consumer to remit the payment and if required, may peruse with the billing issue after making the payment.

- (c). The respondents reported that a Check Meter was installed at the residence of the Appellant, in series with the Appellant's meter from 05.03.2021 to 08.03.2021 and accuracy of the Appellant's meter was found to be satisfactory within the prescribed limits.
- (d). The Appellant was not satisfied with the site testing by Check Meter on 08.03.2021 and therefore, deposited Rs.235/- as testing fee vide Receipt No-k-13-31674 dated-23.02.2021 for testing his Meter in the Meter Testing Laboratory. As per Laboratory Report a copy of which was supplied to the Appellant by the Respondents, the accuracy of the Appellant's meter was found to be satisfactory within the prescribed limits.
- (e) However, he was not satisfied and therefore approached the CGRF for redressal of his grievance who has disallowed the complaint.
- (f) As per facts stated above, the meter was tested twice and its accuracy was found to be correct. Therefore, it cannot be disputed that the meter is not recording correctly. The consumption recorded by the meter in question is the actual consumption utilised by the Appellant and is required to be paid accordingly. The contention of the Appellant that there can not be such a large consumption of 1276 units per month, for the month of February,2020 to June,2020 considering his previous consumption during the same period is not tenable. Technically, much higher consumption can be consumed, considering the Lockdown period due to Corona-19 pandemic, for a load of 7.200 KW as found at site (after many months) against the sanctioned load of 9.755 KW. The concept of load factor and Demand factor normally considered for a Domestic connection are not applicable in view of forced Lockdown, due to Corona-19 pandemic, when the families are forced to stay inside their homes. In any case whether a consumer uses less energy or more energy depends entirely on his lifestyle and uses. As long as the meter is recording correctly there can not be any dispute on the consumption pattern. The Appellant is disputing the consumption of 5 months (February,2020 to June,2020) of the meter in question whereas he is not disputing the consumption for another 18 months (July,2020 to December,2021) by the same meter. Therefore, his contention holds no water and can not be accepted especially when it proved on record after testing the meter twice that it is recording accurately.
- (g) The Appellant's another contention that surcharge on late payment should be waived off as the Electricity Department has not resolved the grievance timely as per his representation dated-July,2020. He was requested during VC to supply a copy of his representation dated-July,2020, which he supplied. Accordingly, the Respondents have also confirmed that they have received his representation in JE office but no action could be taken due to Covid pandemic situations.



It has been observed from the tabulated consumption data supplied by the Respondents from 01.01.2019 to 18.11.2021 that Appellant has not been making the payment of bills since February,2020 for almost more than 18 months except a payment of Rs.2000/- on 27.05.2020.

In my considered opinion, the Respondents had not followed the Regulations, as stated above in letter and spirit. Had they acted on the complaint of July-2020, as per clause 7.24 to 7.27 of the Regulations, not only the grievance of the Appellant could have been redressed timely but the payment of bills could not have been blocked for more than one year.

The Respondents, had acted only on another representation dated- 04.02.2021 for excessive billing from February,2020 to June,2020, which was duly replied by the Respondents, vide letter dated-19.04.2021 [para 8(ix to x)]. Even legal notice dated -28.06.2021 was replied by Additional Govt, Pleader on 23.07.2021[para 8(xiii to xiv)]. The Appellant has deposited the challenged fee on 23.02.2021 and thereafter the meter was tested in Laboratory on 26.02.2021 and also through Check Meter on 18.05.2021. Thus, all actions were initiated by the Electricity Department after his representation dated-04.02.2021. Since no action was taken on the representation dated 27 July,2020 by the respondents, they are not liable to charge any surcharge, till dated-05.05.2021 (19.04.2021 + 15 days) say up to May,2021. '

(5) Regarding issue no 3(ii) as above, as to whether CGRF order dated-02.11.2021 is sustainable in the eyes of law?

In view of the explicit provisions in the Electricity Supply Code regulations-2018, and the fact that meter was found recording accurately, the order of CGRF is inconsonance with the Regulations notified by the Hon'ble Regulatory Commission except that it has erred in not considering the request of Appellant for waiving of the surcharge in view of the non- action of the Respondents.

#### **(F) DECISION**

- (i) For the reasons discussed above, the appeal of the Appellant is partly allowed.
- (ii) The order No-70/2021 dated-02.11.2021, passed by the Learned CGRF-Puducherry is partly upheld and modified to the extent that Respondents should issue a revised bill on the basis of actual meter readings, within 15 days after the issue of this order through email, from February,2020 till May,2021 without the late payment surcharge, after adjusting the amount already paid (if any) including the 1/3<sup>rd</sup> amount paid now against this Appeal. The amount so calculated may be accepted in 4 monthly instalments.
- (iii) The Appellant is liable to pay the late payment surcharge for all the bills not paid from June,2021 onwards.
- (iv) The Appellant has already been supplied details of bills from January,2019 to October,2021, in tabulated form along with the counter reply of the Respondents. If he desired the copy of the

ledgers the same may be supplied after deposit of fee per page as per the rate fixed by the Puducherry Govt, under the RTI act.

- (v) In case, the Appellant or the Respondents are not satisfied with the above decision, they are at liberty to seek appropriate remedy against this order from the appropriate bodies in accordance with Regulation 37(7) of the Joint Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum and Ombudsman) Regulations, 2019.
- (vi) The Electricity Department/Licensee should submit a compliance report to the office of Electricity Ombudsman on the action taken in this regard within 30 days from the issuance of this order by email.
- (vii) The appeal is disposed of accordingly.



3/2/2022

(M.P. Singh Wasal)  
Electricity Ombudsman  
For Goa & UTs (except Delhi)

Dated 03.02.2022